



CITY OF
FORESTPARK

**CITY OF FOREST PARK
CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION MEETING**

Monday, October 20, 2025, at 6:00 PM
Forest Park City Hall | Council Chambers
745 Forest Parkway, Forest Park, GA 30297

The Honorable Mayor Angelyne Butler, MPA
The Honorable Kimberly James The Honorable Delores A. Gunn
The Honorable Hector Gutierrez The Honorable Latresa Akins-Wells
The Honorable Allan Mears
Latosha Clemons, Interim City Manager
Randi Rainey, City Clerk
Danielle Matricardi, City Attorney

APPROVED MINUTES

I. CALL TO ORDER/WELCOME

Mayor Butler called the meeting to order at 6:10 p.m.

II. ROLL CALL - CITY CLERK

Present

Councilmember Kimberly James
Councilmember Delores Gunn
Councilmember Hector Gutierrez
Councilmember Latresa Akins-Wells
Councilmember Allan Mears-Arrived at
6:32 p.m.

Absent

Others in attendance: Pauline Warrior, Chief of Staff; Josh Cox, IT Director; Rodney Virgil, Level 2 Support Engineer; Javon Lloyd, PIO; John Wiggins, Finance Director; Jeremi Patterson, Deputy Finance Director; Shalonda Brown, HR Director; Diane Lewis, HR Deputy Director; Nicole Dozier, PCD Director; Rochelle Dennis, Economic Development Director; Dorthy Roper-Jackson, Municipal Court Director; Tarik Maxwell, Director of Rec and Leisure; Alton Matthews, Public Works Director; Nigel Whatley, Deputy Public Works Director; David Halcome, Deputy Fire Chief; Derry Walker, Code Compliance Director; Brandon Criss, Police Chief, and Danielle Matricardi, City Attorney.

III. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA WITH ANY ADDITIONS / DELETIONS

It was moved to add Item #7 to the agenda: Council Discussion on the Roles and Responsibilities of the Interim City Manager.

The motion was made by Councilmember James and seconded by Councilmember Gutierrez.
Voting Yea: Councilmember James, Councilmember Gunn, Councilmember Gutierrez,

Councilmember Akins-Wells.

IV. **ADOPTION OF THE CONSENT AGENDA WITH ANY ADDITIONS / DELETIONS**

It was moved to adopt the consent agenda as printed.

The motion was made by Councilmember James and seconded by Councilmember Gunn.

Voting Yea: Councilmember Gunn, Councilmember Gutierrez, Councilmember Akins-Wells.

V. **CONSENT AGENDA**

There was no discussion on this item.

1. **Council Discussion on a Hardware Refresh Purchase** - Information Technology Department

Background/History:

Every year, the Informational Technology (IT) department replaces approximately 25 percent of City Computers as part of our 5-year refresh cycle. This is the purchase for this year's inventory as part of that refresh cycle.

VI. **NEW BUSINESS**

2.

Council Discussion on a Budget Amendment for FY24/25 Year-End Final Amendment, Budget Amendment/Transfer CMO, and Budget Revenue Adjustment for Courts-Finance Department

Background/History:

The Finance Department is submitting three Budget Amendments for Council approval. The budget serves as a planning and management tool for City staff to ensure accurate financial reporting and compliance with budgetary controls; therefore, amendments are needed to meet operational needs.

Budget Amendment One is the final budget amendment for fiscal year 24/25. This amendment is intended to address general ledger line items that exceed budgeted appropriations during normal operations.

Budget Amendment Two serves as a formal request to process a budget transfer/amendment within the CMO (Executive) to address immediate overages using the salary line.

Budget Amendment Three is to address the monthly mandated expenditures that Courts are required to pay by adjusting the Courts' revenues to pay the expenses directly.

Deputy Finance Director, Mr. Patterson, presented three budget amendments for Council consideration. He explained that the amendments reflect proactive financial management and the quarterly budget review process, with no additional budget impact or financial shortfall.

- Amendment One – FY24/25 Final Adjustment: Realigns existing funds among approved accounts to reflect actual operational expenses and maintain compliance with budget controls.
- Amendment Two – Chief Executive Office: Authorizes an internal transfer within the department to cover current expenditures using salary savings; net neutral with no impact on funding levels.

- Amendment Three – Municipal Court Revenue Alignment: Aligns revenues and expenditures to allow state-mandated payments to be made directly from the corresponding revenue sources, ensuring transparency and proper fund matching.

Deputy Finance Director, Mr. Patterson, requested Council approval of the amendments as presented, emphasizing that all adjustments utilize existing appropriations and do not increase the budget.

Comments/Discussion from Governing Body:

Councilmember James asked about the \$31,000 increase in overtime pay, noting that Council had previously discussed reducing overtime costs. She referenced the earlier mention of flex scheduling across seven days and inquired how the additional overtime amount was determined. Finance Deputy Director Patterson explained that the \$31,000 overtime increase was based on forecasting. The finance team took the current quarter's average overtime costs and projected them across the remaining three quarters to provide coverage. He noted this approach is intended to anticipate expenses and avoid negative budget impacts rather than address them retroactively.

Councilmember James reiterated the Council's prior discussions on reducing overtime costs. She noted that significant overtime appeared in the first part of the fiscal year and suggested this may have contributed to the \$31,000 forecasted increase. She further commented that Council had previously worked with the former City Manager on realignment measures intended to address overtime concerns. Finance Deputy Director Patterson clarified that the Finance Department does not manage or oversee overtime for departments; that responsibility lies with department leadership. He stated that Finance relies on raw data to prepare reports, estimates, and forecasts using historical information. He noted this recommendation is based on first-quarter data, with another review and update scheduled in approximately four months.

Councilmember James expressed concern about the proposed increase in overtime for the Executive Offices, noting it reflects directly on the Council. She suggested reconsidering the increase, potentially reallocating funds to hire part-time assistance or reviewing overtime allocations with Chief Clemons. She emphasized the importance of utilizing flex time as previously discussed and stated she was not in favor of increasing the overtime line item as presented.

Councilmember Gunn asked whether the proposed budget increase would be allotted to overtime for the Executive Offices and sought clarification on which department the amendment applied to. Deputy Director Patterson clarified that one budget amendment applies to the Chief Executive Office and another to the Legislative Office. He explained that the Finance Department bases its recommendations on historical data and first-quarter results, forecasting out for the remainder of the year to avoid overages. He emphasized that the budget serves as a guide and can be adjusted as needed based on operational requirements, with future reviews allowing funds to be reallocated where necessary.

Councilmember Gunn asked if the \$31,000 overtime budget increase would be spread across any personnel who work overtime.

Mayor Butler questioned whether the amendment would be particular to the council aides. Deputy Director Patterson explained that the overtime amendment applies to any hourly employee within the Executive Offices who is eligible for overtime. He clarified that Finance does not evaluate who incurs the overtime, but rather adjusts based on departmental totals. He noted that if overtime is over budget, Finance makes up the deficit and then forecasts for the remainder of the year. If the trend decreases, unused funds remain in place and can be reallocated at the final adjustment. He emphasized that the process is net neutral, shifting funds within the budget without increasing overall appropriations.

Chief Clemons explained that the overtime budget amendment applies specifically to the Chief Executive Office and the Legislative Office. She stated the adjustment is based on historical data and first-quarter trends, intended to align the budget and avoid overages. She noted the budget serves as a guide and can be adjusted as needed throughout the year, with future reviews allowing reallocations if actual expenses differ from projections.

Councilmember Gutierrez emphasized that the overtime amendment is a projection and does not guarantee the funds will be spent. He explained that the allocation is intended to provide coverage if needed, ensuring the budget remains balanced and not overextended.

Councilmember Akins-Wells stated that Council aides support events throughout the year and often must flex their schedules instead of receiving overtime, which she felt was unfair to them and to the Council. She expressed support for the budget amendment, noting that allowing aides to receive overtime would help ensure other work for the Mayor and Council is not delayed.

Mayor Butler noted concerns about the inability to implement a flexible work schedule in connection with the discussion on Council aide overtime.

Mayor Butler asked why it has been difficult to implement a flexible work schedule for Council aides and what issues have prevented it. She added that the budget amendment item came from the Finance Department, based on the numbers presented, and not from the Interim City Manager, who oversees the scheduling of Council aides. She stated she was trying to understand exactly where the inability lies, since the agenda materials list this as an issue. Finance Deputy Director Patterson explained that the Finance Department's role is to present the data, noting that if Council aides were fully flexing their schedules, there would be no overtime reflected, only regular salaries. He stated the core question is whether the concern is to reduce overtime or to allow it to continue, emphasizing that the amendment is based strictly on data trends.

Chief Clemons explained that Council aides do have the ability to utilize flex time. She stated that the purpose of the budget amendment is to align this item, whether over or under budget, to ensure proper reporting. She reiterated that flex opportunities remain available as needed for events.

Mayor Butler asked if the schedule of events is being reviewed in advance so that Council aides can properly flex their time. She noted that while most events are planned, some are not scheduled or budgeted in advance, and emphasized the need to anticipate these occurrences if flex scheduling is the intent of the governing body. Finance Deputy Director Patterson explained that the overtime amendment is based on a projection of past activity, including summer events.

He stated that projections can change as actual data is reviewed daily and with department heads on a monthly basis. He noted that Finance uses prior data to forecast future needs and prevent budgets from appearing over-expended. He emphasized that funds can be reallocated with the Council's guidance, and such adjustments are standard practice.

Councilmember James asked if the budget adjustment amount being moved was related to Mr. Clark's retirement. Finance Deputy Director Patterson clarified that the Finance Department reviews payroll reports and budget data without focusing on individual employees. He explained that if expenditures trend below budget, for example, due to vacancies or reduced costs, funds can be reallocated to areas that may be over budget. He emphasized that the goal is to maintain alignment and ensure the overall budget remains balanced.

Councilmember James emphasized that each department director should actively monitor their budgets to avoid overages or shortfalls, stating that corrective action should be taken before funds are spent rather than after. She noted that she also manages a budget and holds herself to the same standard. Councilmember James stated that while she was agreeable to the current amendment since it represents a projection rather than actual spending, she does not support relying on overtime for compensation. She added that she is confident in the City's staff and open to revisiting compensation discussions if needed.

Councilmember Akins-Wells asked if the issue stemmed from Council aides having flex schedules but not being properly overseen, resulting in overtime instead of flex time being used. Finance Deputy Director Patterson stated that overtime is approved when hours exceed 40. He explained that Finance does not review each employee individually but instead runs ADP payroll reports, updates and reviews the budget, and coordinates with Director Wiggins, who communicates directly with department directors regarding such matters.

Councilmember Akins-Wells stated that Council aides were expected to flex their time, but this was not being appropriately overseen. She emphasized that the employees should not be blamed, but rather the oversight, and concluded that her focus was on ensuring the financial side is handled appropriately.

Councilmember Gunn stated that Council aides are consistently working, supporting meetings and events, and enhancing the Council's image. She emphasized that the aides are not idle and that the issue lies with oversight of their schedules rather than their performance. She further noted it is the Council's responsibility to ensure the budget aligns and provides a safety net moving forward, adding that she supports whatever adjustments are needed to address the matter.

3. **Council Discussion on the Information Technology (IT) Hardware Surplus-** Information Technology Department

Background/History:

Throughout the year, the IT department collects and inventories all IT surplus hardware. This includes outdated computers that have been upgraded, old cell phones, monitors, printers, and other similar devices. We are presenting another list of items for approval as surplus. The list details the items, their condition, and whether they have any usable life left. We are asking the Mayor and Council to guide us on how to proceed with these items. While most items are recommended to be electronically recycled, some items noted have

value and could be added to the employee buyback program or donated to an organization of the Mayor and Council's choice.

Director Cox reported that surplus equipment had been identified during spring cleaning. His first request was approval to declare various computers, printers, monitors, and related devices as surplus and authorize electronic recycling through a partner vendor that provides certificates of destruction at no cost to the City. His second request concerned 33 surplus cell phones, ranging from iPhone 7 to 13 models, which still hold value. He sought guidance from the Mayor and Council on whether to donate the phones to a partner organization or conduct an employee buy-back program, as had been done in the past.

Comments/Discussion from Governing Body:

Councilmember James stated that she accepted the recommendation to recycle surplus equipment that required destruction. Regarding the surplus cell phones, she expressed support for the employee buy-back option previously used, noting it provided a fair way to handle the devices with value.

4. **Council Discussion to review the number of permitted alcohol licenses allowed per Title 9 – Licensing and Regulation, Chapter 2. – Alcoholic Beverages, Article C. Distilled Spirits – Retail Package Sales, Sec. 9-2-52. Maximum number of licenses permitted-** Planning and Community Development Department

Background/History:

The City's alcohol licensing ordinance limits the number of wholesale and retail distilled spirits package sale licenses based on population. Under the current regulations, a combined maximum of seven (7) licenses is permitted, with opportunities for additional licenses tied to incremental population growth beyond a threshold of 21,000 residents. Population figures are determined using the most recent data published by the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC), or the most recent decennial U.S. Census if ARC data is unavailable.

This item is being brought forward for Council discussion in response to recent interest from prospective applicants seeking an additional distilled spirits package license. Staff has advised these applicants that the current number of licenses has reached the allowable maximum under existing population figures, and that no additional licenses may be issued at this time. In light of this interest, the staff is seeking Council direction on whether to maintain the current licensing limits or consider potential amendments to the ordinance based on updated population data and broader policy considerations.

Director Dozier explained that staff had worked with the applicant to resolve the license issue. She confirmed that the City allows a maximum of seven licenses and that a review revealed an error with one previously issued license, which opened the opportunity for this applicant. She stated the applicant would be applying for the seventh license and noted it is up to the Council to determine whether to expand beyond the current limit in the future.

Comments/Discussion from Governing Body:

Councilmember Gutierrez requested a discussion regarding the City's ordinance on

restaurants selling alcohol in proximity to religious organizations.

Councilmember Akins-Wells stated that the business in question is located in her ward and has operated in Forest Park for over 20 years. She expressed concern that the business faced unnecessary difficulties in maintaining its license, which she felt reflected poorly on the City's handling of business matters. She emphasized the importance of being business-friendly, supporting owners and residents rather than creating obstacles, and noted the impact on jobs and community appearance. She urged the Council to consider this moving forward.

Councilmember Mears recalled prior discussions about guidelines and limitations for certain establishments, such as churches, within specific areas of the City. He questioned whether similar stipulations should exist for the number of wineries or liquor stores, noting there are already several in the immediate area. He suggested that clear guidelines would help the Council make consistent decisions regarding licenses.

Councilmember Mears stated that license approvals should follow established guidelines, such as limits based on distance or number of establishments within a set area. He recalled that this matter had been raised previously and suggested adherence to such guidelines would help ensure consistency. Councilmember Akins-Wells clarified that the business in question had already been operating in the City but was displaced after its building was lost to fire. She noted that the request was not for a new license but to restore operations for an existing business.

Attorney Matricardi clarified that an error in the records initially indicated seven licenses; however, upon review, staff confirmed there are only six. She explained that this correction allows the applicant to apply for the seventh license and noted that the matter has been resolved to the applicant's satisfaction.

5. **Council Discussion to enter into a contract with B&W Mechanical Contractor's Inc. and J2 Connect Inc. for on-call Plumbing Repairs and Maintenance Services-**
Procurement Department

Background and History:

In 2022, the City entered into on-call contracts with two (2) plumbing firms for as-needed plumbing repairs and maintenance services for City-owned facilities. The Department of Public Works requires qualified firms to provide on-call Plumbing Repairs and Maintenance Services to support these efforts. Public Works will continue to provide minor plumbing repairs in-house and encourage facility maintenance staff to earn a plumbing license.

Request for Proposal No. 2025-RFP-018. Consists of providing as-needed plumbing repairs and maintenance services for City-owned facilities. Four (4) proposals were received. After the evaluation of technical and cost proposals, the Evaluation Committee recommends multi-awards to the two (2) highest scoring proposers:

B&W Mechanical Contractor's Inc., 1940 Riverside Pkwy., Lawrenceville, GA 30043

J2 Connect Inc., 3137 E Fairview Road, McDonough, GA 30252

Director Wiggins presented RFP 018 for renewal of the plumbing contract established initially in 2022. He reported that four vendors participated in the procurement process, with evaluations completed by the committee. The two top candidates identified were BMW Mechanical Contractors and J2 Connect. He asked Council to consider approval of the renewal and noted he was available to answer any questions regarding the contract or funding.

Director Matthews added that two vendors were selected through the RFP process to address issues requiring a licensed plumber and code compliance. He explained that regular building maintenance needs are handled by City staff, while work beyond their capacity will be assigned to the plumbing contractors.

Comments/Discussion from Governing Body:

Councilmember Gutierrez noted that the plumbing contract was not a new process, emphasizing that all procurement continues to follow open bidding and the standard RFP procedures. He also inquired about what the committee does. Director Wiggins explained that as part of the procurement process, a committee of City staff is selected to evaluate submitted proposals using a scoring sheet with established criteria. The evaluations are compiled by Procurement and then forwarded to the City Manager for review before being presented to Council.

Councilmember Akins-Wells sought clarification on the procurement process, inquiring which employees serve on the evaluation committee and noting that she had not been aware that this was part of the procedure. Chief Clemons explained that evaluation committees are selected based on the subject matter of the proposal. She noted that Ms. Adams selects directors with the most relevant knowledge or qualifications to serve on the committee, ensuring contractors are evaluated appropriately under established guidelines.

Councilmember Akins-Wells stated that she disagreed with the current method of selecting evaluation committees, expressing concern that it could present a conflict of interest. She noted she had not previously been aware of the process and asked whether each committee comprises different individuals. Director Matthews explained that the solicitation was an RFP, where vendors submitted proposals for services. She stated that evaluations were based on qualifications, pricing, past performance, and service history. She included that she believes certain decisions, such as the evaluation process, should fall under the responsibility of the Council rather than the staff.

Councilmember Mears noted that the City handles mechanical work in-house up to a certain level and asked for confirmation that one of the recommended contractors would be used when outside assistance is required. He added that contractors must follow stipulations when performing work and stated that he saw no reason the City should not proceed with the recommended arrangement. Director Wiggins noted that one reason for concern may be budget-related, explaining that approximately \$140,000 was budgeted last year compared to about \$60,000 this year.

Chief Clemons clarified that evaluation committees are not composed of general employees but typically consist of deputy directors or directors. She emphasized that this is the standard procedure for the procurement process.

Councilmember Gunn, referencing prior comments, asked whether the City Council had ever directly participated in the procurement evaluation process and if this had previously been done in Forest Park.

Councilmember Akins-Wells stated that the City has not had a board for procurement evaluations and questioned when directors were given the authority to decide which vendors are selected. Attorney Matricardi explained that the procurement evaluation process has likely always operated in this manner, but acknowledged that the information may not have been clearly communicated to Council in the past.

Councilmember Akins-Wells requested documentation showing when the current procurement evaluation process went into effect. Attorney Matricardi explained that it is standard practice for municipalities and local governments to use internal evaluation committees for procurement. She added that it would be a conflict of interest for a Council Member to serve on such a committee, since the Council is responsible for the final vote on vendor selection.

Councilmember Gunn stated her understanding of the procurement process, noting that staff compile and score proposals to identify the top three vendors, which are then presented to Council. She added that the Council has the opportunity to review the information and make the final decision, giving them the authority to approve or deny the recommendation.

Attorney Matricardi explained that on-demand contracts often involve selecting more than one vendor, since availability may vary depending on the request. She noted that the City uses this same approach for electrician procurement.

Councilmember Akins-Wells requested clarification on whether the procurement office, rather than department directors, is responsible for selecting multiple vendors under on-demand contracts.

Attorney Matricardi suggested placing the procurement evaluation process on the next meeting agenda, with the Procurement Manager present to address any questions.

6. **Council Discussion on the Request from the Forest Park's Ministers Association to Use 803 Forest Parkway for a Public Forum-** Recreation and Leisure Department

Background/History:

The Forest Park Ministers Association has submitted a request to utilize 803 Forest Parkway on Friday, October 31st at 5:00 PM to host a Community Public Forum. The purpose of the event is to provide an open platform for community members, faith leaders, and local officials to discuss current issues impacting residents and to promote collaboration among community organizations. The forum is intended to be nonpartisan and open to the public. The Forest Park Ministers Association will be responsible for coordinating event logistics, including setup, cleanup, and ensuring compliance with all facility use policies. No additional city staffing or resources are requested beyond standard facility access.

Director Maxwell announced that a candidate forum is scheduled for Friday night, providing an opportunity for candidates to speak to the community ahead of the November 4 election.

7. **Council Discussion on the roles and responsibilities of the Interim City Manager**
– Legislative Office

Comments/Discussion from Governing Body:

Councilmember Gunn directed a question to the City Attorney, stating her understanding that her role on Council was temporary and intended to serve until a permanent person was appointed to the seat. She asked for clarification on this matter. Attorney Matricardi clarified that the term “interim” is not correct. She explained that Councilmember Gunn is serving the unexpired term of the prior Councilmember, meaning she has fully stepped into the role rather than serving in an interim capacity.

Councilmember Gunn, noting the clarification provided on her role, asked about the scope of authority for Interim City Manager Clemons. She inquired whether there are any restrictions on her authority or if she holds full power to execute decisions as City Manager. Attorney Matricardi explained that Interim City Manager Clemons holds the full power and authority of the City Manager's office. She clarified that the title “interim” is only temporary nomenclature and does not limit her ability to execute any duties of the City Manager.

Councilmember Gunn asked whether the Interim City Manager has the authority to oversee and manage department heads, including issuing work orders or assigning directors to fill positions as needed. Attorney Matricardi clarified that there is a distinction between employees and department directors. She explained that the Interim City Manager may hire or terminate regular employees directly. However, for department director positions, the City Manager may only nominate candidates, with final confirmation required by the Mayor and Council.

Councilmember James clarified that the question being asked was not about appointing a new director, but rather whether the Interim City Manager has the authority to assign an existing director to take on a specific job. She stated that the Interim City Manager does have that authority. Attorney Matricardi noted that Chief Clemons had the authority since she supervises them.

Councilmember Gunn expressed concerns as a resident about ensuring the City moves forward with its planned projects. She noted that the Interim City Manager has assigned a director to oversee certain projects and asked for confirmation that the Interim City Manager has the authority to do so. She acknowledged that updates to Council are necessary, but emphasized that the Interim City Manager should be able to act within that authority. Attorney Matricardi confirmed that the Interim City Manager, as the City's Chief Administrative Officer, has the authority to assign responsibilities and delegate powers to current department directors.

Councilmember Gunn stated that she did not want to see further stagnation in City projects, noting that residents are eager to see progress. She emphasized that the City employs capable and qualified staff with the necessary credentials to carry out their responsibilities and expressed confidence in Interim City Manager Clemons' authority to oversee and assign directors as needed. She added that Clemons has done an excellent job keeping Council informed and including everyone in the process, even when opinions differ. Councilmember Gunn expressed her confidence that the Interim City Manager and her team will continue to move projects forward and ensure that residents see tangible results.

Councilmember Akins-Wells emphasized the importance of transparency, stating that her role is to ask questions and represent residents, even if it means raising difficult concerns. She noted that whoever serves as Interim City Manager will face scrutiny, explaining that it is part of ensuring accountability to the community. She added that she believes an individual under investigation should not be assigned additional duties and clarified that her questions are not personal but reflect concerns raised by residents.

She also expressed appreciation for Interim City Manager Clemons, recognizing her leadership during a time when the City has experienced turnover in the position of City Manager. She acknowledged that the Council still has challenges to address, but noted that Clemons has stepped up to do a good job. Councilmember Akins-Wells stated that Clemons can always come to her with issues and that she is committed to working together with the Council to move the City forward.

Councilmember Gunn emphasized that every ward has a voice and each Councilmember has a different style of representation, whether outspoken or reserved. She noted that while approaches may differ, all Councilmembers share the same goal of representing their wards and serving the City. She stated that she is proud of the personalities and perspectives on Council, and that coming together collectively, even when there is disagreement, is what matters. She also expressed appreciation for Councilmember Akins-Wells' willingness to ask questions, adding that the manner in which questions are asked is equally important.

Chief Clemons expressed gratitude to the Council and the community for the opportunity to serve as Interim City Manager. She reflected on her five years of service in the City, including four and a half years as Fire Chief, and shared that she prayed before deciding to accept the role. She highlighted her leadership experience since 2004, noting her promotions through the ranks to Deputy Chief and then Fire Chief. Chief Clemons emphasized her commitment to leading with integrity, ethical standards, effective communication, and respect for department directors, affirming that she will continue to serve in this interim role with dedication until otherwise directed.

VII. **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

(When an Executive Session is required, one will be called for the following issues: Personnel, Litigation or Real Estate) OCGA is §50-14-1 (A) (2)

VIII. **ADJOURNMENT**

Mayor Butler adjourned the meeting at 7:10 p.m.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, those requiring accommodation for Council meetings should notify the City Clerk's Office at least 24 hours before the meeting at 404-366-4720.